

# A Propensity Score Analysis of Renal Dysfunction in Patients after

# **On-Pump and Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery**

#### Rajkamal Vishnu <sup>1</sup>, Guruprasad Rai<sup>\* 1</sup>,Arvind Kumar Bishnoi <sup>1</sup>,Ganesh Kamath Sevagur <sup>1</sup>,Pranav Balakrishnan <sup>1</sup>,Yogesh K Gaude<sup>2</sup>,Navin Patil <sup>3</sup>,Lokvendra Singh Budania <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Thoracic Surgery,Kasturba Medical College, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India

<sup>2</sup> Department of Anaesthesiology, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacology, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Manipal, India

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

Article type: Original Article

*Article history:* Received: 17 June 2019 Revised: 01 August 2019 Accepted: 19 August 2019

Keywords: Acute Renal Failure(ARF) Coronary Artery Disease(CAD) Cardiopulmonary Bypass(CPB) Glomerular Filtration Rate (GFR) Creatinine Clearance (Crcl)

#### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Cardiovascular disease has emerged as a major health burden worldwide with coronary artery disease (CAD) causing highest mortality and morbidity. Coronary surgery has revolutionized CAD management and has been one of the most studied procedures worldwide. Based on the application of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) can be on-pump or off-pump. The CPB is known to have multifactorial effects on all end-organ functions due to nonpulsatile flow and inflammation response. Renal function alteration is one of the multiple deleterious effects. There have been reports on better renal function using off-pump CABG. The present study aimed to investigate and compare the prevalence of renal dysfunction in the two techniques (i.e., on-pump and off-pump CABG).

**Materials and Methods**: This was a single-center retrospective study conducted with the data from 199 patients categorized into two groups of off-pump [n=106] and on-pump [n=93]. Renal parameters were monitored at days 0, 1, 3, and 5 after the operation using glomerular filtration rate and creatinine clearance of patients with diabetes, hypertension, as well as both diabetes and hypertension. In addition, normal patients were analyzed in the present study.

**Results:** Among 199 subjects who underwent the surgery, renal functions were compared between the two groups. Accordingly, no significant difference was observed in renal function derangement between the off-pump and on-pump groups.

**Conclusion:** It was concluded that CPB does not have any additional role as the culprit in renal dysfunction. Both groups shared an almost similar change of trends in renal functions.

► Please cite this paper as:

Vishnu R, Rai G, Bishnoi A, Sevagur GK, Balakrishnan P, Gaude YK, Patil N, Budania LS.A Propensity Score Analysis of Renal Dysfunction in Patients after On-Pump and Off-Pump Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery. J Cardiothorac Med. 2019; 7(3):474-483

\*Corresponding author: Guruprasad Rai, Department of Cardiovascular Thoracic surgery, Kasturba Medical College, Manipal Academy OF Higher Education, Manipal, India.Address: Room no 32, CTVS OPD, New OPD building, Madhava Nagar, Kasturba hospital, Manipal, Karnataka, India. Fax & Tel: + 9886730726.Email: drgururai13@gmail.com © 2016 mums.ac.ir All rights reserved.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# Introduction

Coronary revascularization is one of the procedures with the highest impact on the history of medicine. No other operation has led to more prolonged lives and been better characterized with respect to its short- and long-term outcomes (1).

The CABG has improved the morbidity and mortality in conditions, such as triple-vessel disease. double-vessel coronary artery disease (CAD), and angina refractory to medical intervention. There are two basic ways of performing CABG, namely on-pump CABG and off-pump CABG. The major difference between on-pump and offpump CABG is the use of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) in which a machine maintains the body's blood supply in case of on-pump CABG. Offpump CABG is a relatively newer need approach with no for cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) machine (2).

Traditionally, on-pump CABG is the preferred choice; however, the use of CPB leads to inflammatory effects responsible for multiple organ dysfunction affecting the kidneys, liver, lungs, brain, and heart (3).

Off-Pump CABG has recently gained popularity because it negates the ill effects of CPB; nonetheless, it requires more technical expertise.

Postoperative dysfunction renal following CPB is relatively common (8%) with acute renal failure (ARF) developing in 1.2-13% of patients depending on their preoperative glomerular filtration rate (GFR). Among the cases who postoperative developed renal dysfunction, 18% of them required dialysis. Overall mortality among patients who develop postoperative renal dysfunction is reported as 19% (4, 5). Predictors of renal dysfunction

Include advanced age, history of congestive heart failure, prior bypass surgery, type 1 diabetes, and prior renal disease (6). Patients with advanced preoperative renal dysfunction (preoperative level≥2.5 creatinine mg/dL) who undergo CABG have an extraordinarily high risk of postoperative dialysis, and 40-50% of the cases require hemodialysis (7). Intraoperative renal risk factors include low normal cardiac output, decreased effective arterial blood volume, prolonged CPB time longer than 130 to 180 min, development of a inflammatory svstemic response inappropriate syndrome (SIRS), hemodilution, and embolic phenomenon. Acute renal injury (AKI) has been reported following cardiac surgery; however, emergency surgery, chronic kidney disease, and female gender are among the most prominent risk factors (8).

During CPB, despite wide fluctuations in mean arterial pressure (MAP), only minute changes arise in GFR due to renal autoregulation. The renal blood flow begins to decline at MAPs lower than 50 mm Hg, and the autoregulation of GFR occurs at higher pressures (70-80 mm Hg). This concept becomes clinically relevant during CPB when perfusion pressure is decreased below the autoregulatory threshold for GFR resulting in diminished urine output. Increasing perfusion pressure is often when considered managing intraoperative oliguria to theoretically prevent ARF (9, 10).

During CPB factors affecting GFR and consequently urine formation may be diminished for several reasons, including decrease in kidney function due to exposure to nephrotoxins or decrease in glomerular capillary pressure due to hypoperfusion and increase in intratubular pressure from intratubular obstruction due to cellular debris or increase in glomerular concentration from the concentration of proteins due to dehydration.

Although the decline in GFR results in the reduction of urine output, the contrary is not necessarily true that is a decrease in urine volume does not always mean a decline in GFR. In addition, it does not imply the diagnosis of ARF (11). Despite the observations that CPB duration is independently associated with ARF, the benefits of offpump cardiac bypass surgery for renal function remain controversial in many retrospective studies.

Pathophysiology of cardiac surgeryassociated AKI (CS-AKI) is complex and is believed to be multifactorial. Occurrence of CS-AKI may be explained bv different mechanisms acting synergistically, including endogenous and exogenous toxins. metabolic mechanisms, neurohormonal activation, ischemia-reperfusion, oxidative stress, and inflammation (12).

# Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was carried out on a cohort of patients with CAD admitted in Kasturba Hospital in Manipal, India, who underwent similar surgical intervention during 2014 and 2017. Only the subjects with stable angina (not in heart failure) who underwent elective isolated CABG were included in the present study. Patients operated on an emergency basis with previous renal dysfunction and the cases who had undergone CABG with valve surgery and redo cardiac surgery were excluded from the study. Medical records of the patients were analyzed for obtaining the necessary data after acquiring the approval from the Medical Department Records of Kasturba Hospital. A total of 199 patients were included in this study and divided into groups based on two the CABG technique. In all cases, the treatment was

based on a prior review of coronary angiograms of the respective patient, and the procedures were performed through midline sternotomy incision. Used grafts were left internal mammary artery and/or saphenous vein graft/left radial artery. During off-pump surgery, median performed sternotomy was bv simultaneous harvesting of radial artery/saphenous vein and left internal mammary artery. In off-pump CABG, activated clotting time was maintained over > 300-350 sec. The heart was stabilized using an Octopus tissue stabilizer. During distal grafting, MAP was maintained above 70 mm Hg.

For on-pump surgery, median performed sternotomy was using simultaneous harvesting of radial artery/saphenous vein and left internal artery. Standard mammarv bypass techniques were employed in on-pump surgery. After systemic heparinization (400 units/kg heparin), CPB was instituted, and the patients were cooled at 28°C. The CPB was set up using twostage right atrial cannulation with an arterial return to the ascending aorta. The aorta was cross-clamped, and cold blood cardioplegic arrest was achieved. However, in CPB, MAP was maintained between 60-80 mmHg. In both procedures, heparin was neutralized protamine after the grafting with procedure, and hemostasis was attained. Sternotomy was repaired by steel wires after placing one-mediastinal and leftpleural drains.

In both groups, during the postoperative period, urine output was maintained higher than 1ml/kg/h, and diuretics were used as necessary. Hemodynamic parameters, such as heart rate, MAP, and central venous pressure, were monitored and recorded intraoperatively and postoperatively. Renal parameters were monitored on the days 0, 1, 3, 5 after the operation using GFR and calculated by the abbreviated modification of diet in renal disease (aMDRD) equation as follows:

 $186 \times (\text{plasma creatinine})^{-1.154} \times (\text{age}) - 0.203 [0.742 if the patient is female]}$ 

Renal function is graded from I to IV according to the classification proposed by the Kidney Disease Outcome Quality Initiative as follows:

I (GFR>89 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>)

II (GFR 60-89 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>)

III (GFR 30-59 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>)

IV (GFR 15-29 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>)

Statistical analysis was conducted by collecting preoperative clinical features, operative data and postoperative data expressed as percentages, as well as mean and standard deviations, wherever applicable.

## Statistical Methods

# **Propensity Score Matching**

Propensity score (PS) matching is a method used to balance observed covariates in two treatment groups. In the present study, PS was the conditional probability of receiving on-pump or offpump treatment as a binary dependent variable under a set of measurements. These measurements included the clinical risk factors, such as age, gender, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, previous stroke, history of myocardial infarction, peripheral vascular disease, extent of CAD, and baseline renal parameters. All these potential confounders were added into a nonparsimonious multiple logistic regression model to predict the chance of using on-pump or off-pump CABG. The predicted probability derived from the logistic equation was used as PS for each individual.

The two groups of on-pump and offpump were combined and classified according to PS; accordingly, the subjects were matched based on PS in the two groups. The patients were selected by 1:1 matching without replacement using the nearest neighbor method. If an appropriate PS match could not be found for the subjects within the two groups, they were excluded from the study. Descriptive statistics were utilized to summarize the data.

As the two surgery groups were matched. appropriate the analysis methods for matched groups, namely paired t-test and repeated measures analysis of variance, were used for statistical analysis. All the analyses were performed using STATA statistical software (version 13.1). P-value less than considered statistically 0.05 was significant in this study.

### Results

A total of 199 (153 males and 46 females) patients were included in the present study. Preoperative patient demographics are detailed in (Table 1). There was no significant difference, in terms of demographics, between the two groups.

All the patients who had undergone the surgery were categorized into two groups, including off-pump and on-pump, among whom 106 (53.3%) and 93 subjects (46.7%) belonged to the off-pump and on-pump group, respectively. During the conduction of CPB on the on-pump patients in the present study, the mean values of aortic cross-clamp time and total CPB time were 84.70±33.98 and 148.86±47.95 min, respectively.

After adjustment of baseline covariates according to propensity score matching, the renal function parameters were analyzed for the postoperative period in (Table 2).

In the comparison of postoperative creatinine levels between the off-pump and on-pump groups, there was a significant difference between the two groups [P=0.008].

However, there were significant changes in the urea levels between the two groups. In both groups, the urea levels showed a rising trend on postoperative day 3 in comparison to postoperative day 1 that further declined on postoperative day 5. In this regard, there was a significant difference between the two groups [P=0.001]. Similarly, creatinine clearance (CrCl) data were also analyzed in both groups. The off-pump and on-pump patients showed a mild downward trend in CrCl levels mostly noted between the postoperative days 3 and 5 with no gross derangement. P-value for CrCl was reported as 0.04 in the present study.

**Table 1**. Preoperative characteristics according to surgical strategy

Covariates	Off-Pump n=106 (%)	On-Pump n=93 (%)
Gender		
Male	85 (80.2)	68 (73.2)
Female	21 (19.8)	25 (26.8)
Comorbidities		
Diabetes Hypertension Peripheral vascular disease Stroke Recent myocardial infarction Ejection fraction	29 ( 27.3) 46 ( 43.3) 1 (0.94) 9 (8.4) 1 (0.94)	31 (33.3) 40 (43) 0 2 (2.1) 0
> 50% 35-49% 20-34 % < 20%	63 (59.4) 28 (26.4) 10 (9.4) 6 (5.6)	40 (43) 24 (25.8) 19 (20.4) 9 (9.6)
Extent of coronary artery disease		
LMCA +/- other vessels Single Vessel Disease (SVD) Double Vessel Disease (DVD) Triple Vessel Disease (TVD) Multiple-Vessel Disease (MVD)	14 (13.2) 2 (1.8) 12 (11.3) 78 (73.5) 52 (49)	8 (8.6) 0 4 (4.3) 72 (77.4) 26 (27.9)

In the comparison of postoperative creatinine levels between the off-pump and on-pump groups, there was a significant difference between the two groups [P=0.008]. However, there were

significant changes in the urea levels between the two groups. In both groups, the urea levels showed a rising trend on postoperative day 3 in comparison to postoperative day 1 that further declined on postoperative day 5. In this regard, there was a significant difference between the two groups [P=0.001].

Similarly, creatinine clearance (CrCl) data were also analyzed in both groups. The off-pump and on-pump patients showed a mild downward trend in CrCl levels mostly noted between the postoperative days 3 and 5 with no gross derangement. P-value for CrCl was reported as 0.04 in the present study. The creatinine and CrCl levels were analyzed in the two groups among patients with diabetes, hypertension, both diabetes and hypertension, as well as normal individuals, preoperatively

Renal parameters	Type of surgery	Preoperative	Postoperative day 0	Postoperative day 1	Postoperative day 3	Postoperative day 5	P-value
Urea	Off-Pump	21.18±6.75	24.25±10.61	27.08±8.41	35.39±15.33	33.27±15.11	
	On-Pump	21.15±6.33	24.02±9.65	27.58±8.79	33.46±10.43	31.79±10.63	0.001
Creatinine	Off-Pump	0.95±0.19	0.97±0.26	1.07±0.31	1.06±0.40	1.03±0.38	
	On-Pump	0.92±0.20	0.98±0.35	1.08±0.39	1.05±0.41	0.99±0.35	0.008
CrCl	Off-Pump	85.13±22.37	86.17±28.26	83.51±31.97	81.46±29.86	81.46±29.86	
	On-Pump	87.58±24.1	86.14±31.12	83.90±37.36	82.89±34.90	82.89±34.90	0.04

Table 2. Comparison of postoperative urea, creatinine, and creatinine clearance in study groups

CrCl: Creatinine clearance. Paired t-test was performed considering pre and postoperative measurements.

After comparing creatinine values in the diabetic group, P-values were reported as 0.007 and 0.06 in the off- and on-pump CABG, respectively, which were significant; however, there was no statistical significance in CrCl group. In hypertensive off-pump group, both creatinine (P=0.001) and CrCl (P=0.08) were statistically significant. In hypertensive on-pump group, only creatinine (P=0.01) was significant. Furthermore, there were no major statistical changes observed in both diabetes and hypertensive groups. In normal patients, off-pump and on-pump groups showed statistically significant creatinine values (P=0.001 and P=0.003); nonetheless, no significance was noted in

CrCl. (Table 5) tabulates the comparison of exclusive postoperative renal function in diabetes, hypertension, as well as both diabetes and hypertension, between the two study groups. It was ascertained that there was no statistically significant change in the CrCl and creatinine levels after the surgery. Overall, renal function grading was performed on all patients postoperatively in both the off-pump and on-pump groups summarized in (Table 6). Later, these patients were observed for the changes of renal function grading to detect whether there was an improvement or thev remained unchanged deteriorated or postoperatively

# **JCTM**

**Table 3.** Comparing preoperative and postoperative Creatinine and creatinine clearance [CrCl] of patients with diabetes, hypertension, both diabetes and hypertension, and normal patients in off-pump group

Comorbidities	Renal parameters	Pre- operation	Postoperative day 0	Postoperative day 1	Postoperative day 3	Postoperative day 5	P-value
Diabetes [n=29]	Creatinine	0.95±0.18	1.01±0.27	1.14±0.33	1.14±0.50	1.06±0.47	0.007
	CrCl	85.82±24.63	82.71±29.32	77.64±26.34	77.77±30.04	77.77±30.04	0.143
Hypertension	Creatinine	0.94±0.21	1.00±0.27	1.11±0.28	1.04±0.36	1.03±0.32	0.001
[n=46]	CrCl	86.21±24.75	85.00±31.23	78.22±25.33	81.24±27.10	81.24±27.10	0.08
Diabetes and hypertension	Creatinine	0.91±0.21	1.09±0.20	1.21±0.29	1.11±0.30	1.06±0.33	0.102
[n=11]	CrCl	91.29±31.80	74.58±17.88	68.68±17.84	75.38±24.72	75.38±24.72	0.491
Normal	Creatinine	0.95±0.19	0.96±0.26	1.06±0.31	1.06±0.42	1.02±0.38	0.001
patients [n=41]	CrCl	84.41±21.13	87.52±28.99	85.22±32.85	82.17±30.44	82.17±30.44	0.389

\*Repeated measures analysis of variance test for independent covariates

**Table 4.** Comparing preoperative and postoperative Creatinine and creatinine clearance [CrCl] of patients with diabetes, hypertension, both diabetes and hypertension, and normal patients in on-pump group

Comorbidities	Renal parameters	Pre- operation	Postoperative day 0	Postoperative day 1	Postoperative day 3	Postoperative day 5	P-value
Diabetes	Creatinine	0.86±0.22	0.97±0.40	1.10±0.40	1.05±0.37	0.98±0.36	0.06
[n=31]	CrCl	93.51±29.56	89.29±29.74	82.64±32.05	84.90±35.58	84.90±35.58	0.328
Hypertension [n=40]	Creatinine	0.92±0.20	1.02±0.35	1.13±0.31	1.01±0.32	0.95±0.31	0.01
[11-40]	CrCl	86.95±21.26	81.87±24.14	76.57±23.51	84.62±31.21	84.62±31.21	0.239
Diabetes and hypertension [n=18]	Creatinine	0.84±0.20	1.01±0.46	1.08±0.39	1.01±0.36	0.98±0.40	0.108

Comorbidities	Renal parameters	P-value
Diabetes	Creatinine	0.775
	Creatinine clearance	0.927
Hypertension	Creatinine	0.149
	Creatinine clearance	0.307
Diabetes and hypertension	Creatinine	0.775

**Table 5**. Comparison of postoperative significance of renal functions in diabetes, hypertension, as well as both diabetes and hypertension between two study groups

**JCTM** 

Table 6. Postoperative renal function grading

	01	On-Pump		Off-Pump	
	[n=93] 46.7%		[n=106] 53.3%		
Renal Function grading		n [%]		n [%]	
I [GFR>89 mL/min/1.73 m2]	39	[41.9%]	36	[33.9%]	
II [GFR: 60-89 mL/min/1.73 m2]	52	[55.9%]	68	[64.1%]	
III [GFR: 30-59 mL/min/1.73 m2]	2	[ 2.1%]	2	[1.8%]	
IV [GFR: 15-29 mL/min/1.73 m2]	0	[0%]	0	[0%]	

In the present study, the majority of the patients were observed with no changes in renal function grading and they remained unchanged throughout the hospital stay. The cases with unchanged renal functions in the off-pump and onpump groups were reported as 60.4% and 60.2%, respectively. In addition, improvement in renal function grading was observed in 16% and 17.2% of the patients, respectively. Moreover, 23.6% and 22.6% of the subjects in the offpump and on-pump groups had deterioration in renal function grading, respectively. Overall, no significant difference noted regarding was postoperative renal function grading

between the off-pump and on-pump groups when GFR was correlated [P=0.969].

#### **Discussion**:

Multiple studies have been conducted to evaluate postoperative renal dysfunction between off-pump and onpump CABG. However, there are very few studies addressing the Propensity score analysis of renal dysfunction between the two groups.

Numerous observational studies and randomized controlled trials compared the potential benefit of preserving RFT in the off-pump patients over the on-pump CABG subjects, which has been settled in short-term and long-term follow-up. The findings of previously published studies comparing the effect of renal dysfunction between the two groups revealed both mixed and conflicting results. Few studies demonstrated no extra benefit of postoperative renal impairment in the two groups. However, the results of most studies show off-pump surgeries have better renal protection intraoperatively and postoperatively.

Abu-Omar et al, analyzed the effect of on-pump vs. off-pump CABG on renal using multiple function regression analysis (13). They concluded that onpump group had significantly lower postoperative creatinine clearance in comparison to the off-pump group and there was a decline in postoperative renal injury. Ogawa et al likewise reported Off-pump CABG provides better renal protection than on-pump CABG [13]. Schwann et al. [14] conducted a prospective observational trial, which determined whether off-pump coronary artery bypass was associated with lower postoperative renal dysfunctions, compared to coronary bypass surgery with CPB. They suggested that the choice of operative technique is not associated with reduced renal morbidity.

Sajja et al. [15] measured MDRD GFR and serum creatinine levels in 116 consecutive patients with preoperative non-dialysis-dependent renal insufficiency undergoing primary CABG were randomized to on-pump and offpump groups and divided them further into diabetes, hypertension, as well as both hypertension and diabetes. The results suggested that on-pump CABG is more deleterious to renal function in diabetic patients with non-dialysisdependent renal insufficiency in comparison to off-pump CABG. Reents et al. [16] reported that AKI was common in patients undergoing CABG; elderlv nevertheless, the worsening of renal function requiring renal replacement therapy was unlikely. Furthermore, offpump CABG was not linked with the lower rates or reduced severity of AKI in elderly subjects.

According to the evidence, more deleterious effects on renal function in on-pump CABG were due to diabetes and an important confounding factor. We compare similar aimed to renal dvsfunction between different covariates. such diabetes. as hypertension, as well as both diabetes and hypertension, in both the off-pump and on-pump groups and determine which one had the most deleterious effect on renal function.in our study comparing pre and postoperative renal function in individual diabetic and hypertensive group we noticed there was slight renal alteration in renal function compared to pre-operative period. But overall postoperatively GFR was not affected in any of the groups. We did not benefit observe extra in renal dysfunction in patients belonging to the off-pump group in comparison to those in the on-pump group.

The limitations of our study include its retrospective, descriptive nature and small cohort size. More prospective longterm studies must be designed to explore and to validate our current findings.

## **Conclusion**:

We concluded that deterioration of renal function in patients with normal preoperative renal parameters is dependent on hemodynamics, cardiac output, and mean pressures. The CPB does not have any additional role as the culprit in renal dysfunction. The reduction of renal dysfunction should not be considered as a reason to argue for the off-pump CABG over on-pump CABG.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

# Funding

This study received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

### **References:**

- 1. Enas EA, Yusuf S, Mehta JL. Prevalence of coronary artery disease in Asian Indians. Am J Cardiol. 1992; 70:945-9.
- 2. Sellke FW1, DiMaio JM, Caplan LR, Ferguson TB, Gardner TJ, Hiratzka LF, et al. Comparing on-pump and off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting: numerous studies but few conclusions: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association council on cardiovascular surgery and anesthesia in collaboration with the interdisciplinary working group on quality of care and outcomes research. Circulation. 2005; 111:2858-64.
- 3. Yadava OP, Kundu A. "On" or "Off" pump coronary artery bypass grafting–Is the last word out?. indian heart journal. 2013 Mar 1;65[2]:187-90.
- 4. Brezis M, Rosen SN, Epstein FH. The pathophysiological implications of medullary hypoxia. Am J Kidney Dis. 1989; 13:253-8.
- 5. Rinder CS, Fontes M, Mathew JP, Rinder HM, Smith BR; Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group. Neutrophil CD11b upregulation during cardiopulmonary bypass is associated with postoperative renal injury. Ann Thorac Surg. 2003; 75:899-905.
- 6. Maganti MD, Rao V, Borger MA, Ivanov J, David TE. Predictors of low cardiac output syndrome after isolated aortic valve surgery. Circulation. 2005; 112:448-52.
- Rao V, Ivanov J, Weisel RD, Ikonomidis JS, Christakis GT, David TE. Predictors of low cardiac output syndrome after coronary artery bypass. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1996; 112[1]:38-51.

- 8. Wild S, Roglic G, Green A, Sicree R, King H. Global prevalence of diabetes: estimates for the year 2000 and projections for 2030. Diabetes Care. 2004; 27:1047-53.
- 9. Rinder CS, Fontes M, Mathew JP, Rinder HM, Smith BR; Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia Research Group. Neutrophil CD11b upregulation during cardiopulmonary bypass is associated with postoperative renal injury. Ann Thorac Surg. 2003; 75:899-905.
- 10. Anderson RJ, Linas SL, Berns AS, Henrich WL, Miller TR, Gabow PA, et al. Nonoliguric acute renal failure. N Engl J Med. 1977; 296:1134-8.
- 11. Myers BD, Moran SM. Hemodynamically mediated acute renal failure. N Engl J Med. 1986; 314:97-105.
- 12. Dixon BS, Anderson RJ. Nonoliguric acute renal failure. Am J Kidney Dis. 1985; 6:71-80.
- 13. Ogawa M, Yaku H, Doi K, Okano T, Yamada Y, Hayashida K, Fukumoto A. Does off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting beneficially affect renal function?. ANZ journal of surgery. 2005 Aug;75[8]:675-9.
- 14. Schwann NM, Horrow JC, Strong MD 3rd, Chamchad D, Guerraty A, Wechsler AS. Does off pump coronary artery bypass reduce the incidence of clinically evident renal dysfunction after multivessel myocardial revascularization? Anesth Analg. 2004; 99:959-64.
- 15. Sajja LR, Mannam G, Chakravarthi RM, Sompalli S, Naidu SK, Somaraju B, et al. Coronary artery bypass grafting with or without cardiopulmonary bypass in patients with preoperative non-dialysis dependent renal insufficiency: a randomized study. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2007; 133:378-88.
- 16. Reents W, Hilker M, Börgermann J, Albert M, Plötze K, Zacher M, et al. Acute kidney injury after on-pump or off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in elderly patients. Ann Thorac Surg. 2014; 98:9-15.